Ladislaus von Bortkiewicz Chair of Statistics Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin Ivb.wiwi.hu-berlin.de







Chen Gauer Härdle Kolesnikova Petukhina Reule Spilak Trimborn Ünal

Hayek (1976) <u>The Denationalization of Money</u>. Instead of a national government issuing a specific currency,..., private businesses should be allowed to issue their own forms of money, deciding how to do so on their own. (From Wikipedia)







Now









Motivation

	USD	USD/EUR	EUR
USD	350,00 \$	1,1372	307,77 €
USD	350,00 \$	1,7047	205,31 €
P/L			-102,46 €

Verwendungszweck 2: Verwendungszweck 3: Verwendungszweck 4: HU Jerusalem Verwendungszweck 5: Verwendungszweck 6: Verwendungszweck 7: Verwendungszweck 8: Verwendungszweck 9: Verwendungszweck 10: Verwendungszweck 11: Verwendungszweck 12: Verwendungszweck 13: Verwendungszweck 14: Verwendungszweck 15: Verwendungszweck 16: Verwendungszweck 17: Verwendungszweck 18: Verwendungszweck 19: Verwendungszweck 20: Verwendungszweck 21: Verwendungszweck 22: Verwendungszweck 23: HU Berlin Verwendungszweck 24: Verwendungszweck 25: Verwendungszweck 26:

GSM

Verwendungszweck 1:

Interne Buchung TRN/Re-Nr. 180607ABIB37267 TRN/MSG 180509ABSE34901 USD 350,00 Kurs EUR/USD 1,185100 EUR 295,33 Verwendungszweck: Gutschrift Ihres zum Inkass 0 eingereichten Auslandsschec ks-Nr. 467640275 ueber 350,00 USD abzueglich Eigen- und Fremd entgelt Abrechnung: Courtage EUR 2,50 Scheckverkehr/Gutschr. n.E EUR 25.00 Fremdentgelt Dokumenteninka EUR 62,52 Endbetrag EUR 205,31 USt-IdNr.: DE 143589235 USt-befreite Finanzdienstl.







Berlin - Room 77 Hype, fools, gold or fact ?



Financial Inclusion

Homeostasis

Financial illiteracy Corruption Suboptimal governance Inefficient monetary institutions Insecurities about fiat currency (forgery ...)

Mass-1st-World-Evolution

1970s: Mainframe1980s: PC1990s: Internet2000s: Social Media2010s: Blockchain

53% of the worlds' adult population is unbanked (2 455 million) "Half the world is unbanked", McKinsey & Company

Smartphone ownership

- 43% in 2013, 60% in 2018
- 56% in 2013, 77% in 2018
- = 41% in 2013, 78% in 2018

13% in 2013, 34% in 2017 Source: statista.com





Total Market Index (TMI)

Wilshire 5000 Total Market Index SP500 SP100 19951009 - 20141205



CRIX

```
library("rjson")
json_file = "http://data.thecrix.de/data/crix.json"
json_data = fromJSON(file=json_file)
x = as.data.frame(json_data)
n = dim(x)
b = seq(2, n[2], 2)
price = t( x[1, b] )
pdf(file = "CRIX_timeseries.pdf", width = 12, height = 12,
        onefile = FALSE, family = "Helvetica",
        title = "R Graphics Output", fonts = NULL,
        version = "1.4", paper = "special")
plot( price, type = "I", lwd = 7)
dev.off()
```





Outline

- 1. Motivation 🗸
- 2. Genesis
- 3. CRIX EtriX



- 4. VCRIX
- 5. OCRIX



- 6. CRIX Portfolii
- 7. Chance, Risk and Opportunities





Q <u>crix.berlin</u>, <u>thecrix.de</u>, <u>crix.info</u>

- CRIX CRyptocurrency IndeX
- benchmark for crypto currency (CC) dynamics
- a scalable index for investment



- Image: market cap weighted index
- Dominance of BTC...
- reallocation: 3M evaluation of k = # constituents





CRIX

CRIX AIC based selection of k
 KDE of difference *TMI - CRIX(k)* CRIX reflects 84% of the *TMI*



$$\widehat{f}_{h}(x) = \frac{1}{nh} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{Epa}(\frac{x - x_{i}}{h})$$
1. Construct $\operatorname{TMI}_{t}(k_{max})$
2. Set $i = 1$
3. Construct $\operatorname{CRIX}(k_{i}, 1)$ and $\operatorname{CRIX}(k_{i}, \beta), i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, k_{1}$

- 3. Construct $CRIX(k_i, 1)$ and $CRIX(k_i, \beta)$, $i = 1, 2, 3, ..., k_1 < k_2 < k_3 < \cdots$
- 4. Compute $\varepsilon(k_i, \beta)_t$ and $\varepsilon(k_i, 1)_t$
- 5. Kernel density estimation for density $f(\varepsilon(k_i, \beta)_t)$ and $f(\varepsilon(k_i, 1)_t)$ with leave-one-out cross validation
- 6. Derive $AIC(k_i, \beta, s) = -2 \log \prod_{t=1}^{n} f(\varepsilon(k_i, \beta)_t) + 2s$ and $AIC(k_i, 1, 0)$
- 7. If $AIC(k_i, \beta, s) < AIC(k_i, 1, 0)$: stop, else jump to 3. and i = i + 1



<u>1000</u>

Period	1	2	3	4	5
# constituents	10	20	25	60	30
# cryptos	23	60	79	88	90

20140201 - 20150412





20170501 - 20190107 BTC Sp500 ETH XRP GLD







CRIX, S&P500, DAX, STI (Singapore), RTSI (Russia), ASE (Greece),

data range: 20140201 - 20150412









□ CRIX EtriX (Chen S et al., 2017)

- CC based derivatives (e.g. XBT) emerge
- CRIX dynamics vital for pricing and risk management
- Emergence of VCRIX, the Vola of CRIX
- How does the crypto market stochastically evolve?







```
CRIX EtriX-
```



GARCH(1,1) model is sufficient in most cases,

 $\varepsilon_t = Z_t \sigma_t, \quad Z_t \sim N(0,1)$ $\sigma_t^2 = \omega + \beta_1 \sigma_{t-1}^2 + \alpha_1 \varepsilon_{t-1}^2$







CRIX EtriX-









Corr(VCRIX_VIX, VIX) = 0.7





Option Pricing on CRIX and CCs

Stochastic Vola (SV) combined with Jump model

■ VCRIX, a natural component









https://svcjoptionpricing.shinyapps.io/optionapp/

SVCJOptionApp



SV Correlated Jump (CJ) model (Chen CYH et al., 2019)

CJ makes the difference

MCMC to calibrate SVCJ

CRIX



24

CRIX and sentiments



Daily CRIX log-return, Daily stock twits sentiment 201407 - 201807

Després R, Chen CYH, Renault T (2019)





Crypto specific terms







Figure 3: Density of Top-10 cryptos against normal distribution





Asset allocation with Cryptos

- □ Allocate cryptos (n = 55) and conventional assets (m = 16)
- Out-of-sample performance: 1 Y moving window, daily returns
- Monthly, weekly and daily rebalancing
- 7 individual optimisation strategies and 2 combinations of models
- Check performance under liquidity constraints (Llquidity Bounded Risk-return Optimisation - LIBRO)





Asset allocation with Cryptos

Model	Reference	Abbreviation				
Equally weighted	DeMiguel et al. (2009)	EW				
Risk-return-oriented strategies						
Mean – Var – max Sharpe	Jagannathan and Ma (2003)	MV – S				
Return-oriented strategies						
Risk – Return – max return	Markowitz (1952)	RR – max ret				
Risk-oriented strategies						
Mean – Var – min var	Merton (1980)	MinVar				
Equal Risk Contribution ERC	Roncalli et al. (2010)	ERC				
Mean – CVaR – min risk	Rockafellar and Uryasev (2000)	MinCVaR				
Maximum Diversification	Rudin and Morgan (2006)	MD				
Combination of models						
Naïve Combination	Schanbacher (2015)	COMB NAÏVE				
Combination bootstrap	Schanbacher (2014)	COMB				



Portfolii



Performance of portfolii: S&P100, EW, EW–TrA, RR-Max ret– TrA and corresponding Allocation strategy Data range: 20160101 to 20171231



30

CCP Code

Portfolii



Change in the composition of portfolio Occ CCP Code Data range: 20160101 to 20171231



Asset allocation with Cryptos



p-value of the difference between the Sharpe Ratio (lower triangle) and Certainty Equivalent (upper triangle) of all strategies with each other with significance codes 0.01, 0.05 and 0.1 (without liquidity constraints)



Asset allocation with Cryptos

- Image: MD and Max Return strategies show the most promising results
- CC as portfolio components yield little variance reduction: application of CC in target return portfolio strategies
- The inclusion of CC is strongly related to investment objectives
- Combination of models outperforms individual ones
- Liquidity Bounded Risk-return Optimization (LIBRO) approach for CC portfolios:
 - improves risk-adjusted performance
 - strengthens diversification effects

IRTG 1792 Discussion Paper 2018-058

Investing with cryptocurrencies evaluating the potential of portfolio allocation strategies

> Alla Petukhina* Simon Trimborn* Wolfgang Karl Härdle* Hermann Elendner*



Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Germany

This research was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft through the International Research Training Group 1792 High Dimensional Nonstationary Time Series". http://irtg1792.hu-berlin.de ISSN 2568-5619



International Research Training Group 1792

Petukhina A, Trimborn S, Härdle WK, Elendner H (2018) Investing with cryptocurrencies - evaluating the potential of portfolio allocation Deep recurrent reinforcement learning for portfolio management

- Data driven: model free framework
- Fully automatised: deep learning
- Online learning: fast adaptation to market change
- Maximising return instead of minimising forecast error
- Limit liquidity risk: Select based on volume (usdt, btc, dash, xrp, eth, ltc, xmr, str)







Portfolii

 Input: Price relative vector on look back period / of close, highest, lowest prices on a specified aggregation frequency
 Output: portfolio weights (trading actions) including risk free asset (cash)

$$(w_1, \dots, w_{n+1}) = f_{\theta}(X_t, \dots, X_{t-1})$$

where $\sum_i w_i = 1$ and $0 \le w_i$ (long only portfolio)

• $w = (w_1, ..., w_n+1)$ maximise future returns at time t+1

- X_t is the input feature set at time t
- f_θ is a deep neural network (LSTM or CNN) with a softmax output layer



Policy network

Features extraction





- Input: Price relative vector on lookback period I of close, highest, lowest prices on a specified aggregation frequency
- Output: portfolio weights (trading actions) including risk free asset (cash)

$$(w_1, \dots, w_{n+1}) = f_{\theta}(X_t, \dots, X_{t-1})$$

where $\sum_i w_i = 1$ and $0 \le w_i$ (long only portfolio)

• $w = (w_1, ..., w_n+1)$ maximise future returns at time t+1

- X_t is the input feature set at time t
- f_θ is a deep neural network (LSTM or CNN) with a softmax output layer



Policy gradient



- Learning through stochastic policy gradient:
- Goal: maximise portfolio value at each step (final portfolio value)
- Reward function: cumulated logarithmic return with transaction costs

$$J_{[0,t_f]}(f_{\theta}) = \frac{1}{t_f} \sum_{t=1}^{t_f+1} \log(\mu_t \frac{p_t}{p_{t-1}} w_{t-1})$$

 p_t price vector, μ_t is the transaction costs

- Optimal policy f_{θ}^* : find optimal parameters θ that maximize reward
- Online stochastic policy gradient:

$$\theta \to \theta + \lambda \nabla_{\theta} J_{[0,t_f]}(f_{\theta})$$



Transaction costs

- Assumptions: zero slippage, zero market impact (no implicit fee)
- Transaction costs come from commission rate per trade, c, from the exchange
- *c* depends on the exchange
- Explicit formula for μ_t :

$$\mu_t = c \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} |w'_{t,i} - w_{t,i}|$$

where w'_*t,i* is the weight of asset *i* before rebalancing



Portfolii

Results

- Data: 30 min prices from 2016-01-01 to 2018-06-30
- Test data 20 %: 2018-01-02 to 2018-06-30
- Horizon forecast: 30 min (next time step)
- Architecture
 - LSTM or CNN model (with one hidden layers)
 - Dropout layer
 - One softmax layer as output corresponding to next actions
- Benchmark: CRIX

	Sharpe ratio	Sortino ratio	Max drawdown
CRIX	-1.08	-0.99	0.15
Long only	-0.88	-0.83	0.16
Long short	0.36	0.37	0.17

Risk measures for portfolii with **c=0.2%** and **c=0.1%** fee per trade



Portfolii

CRIX



Cumulative returns of **CRIX**, RL agents for **long-short**, **long-only** strategies based on CNN predictions with **c** = **0.2%** per trade (Bitfinex exchange)

Chances

Variational Bayes



— 42

CoinGecko

Industry Advisors

That's us

Chen Gauer Härdle Kolesnikova Petukhina Reule Spilak Trimborn Ünal

CRIX

References

Back A (2002) Hashcash - A Denial of Service Counter-Measure. Retrieved on the 24.12.2017 from http://www.hashcash.org/papers/hashcash.pdf.

Chen S, Chen CYH, Härdle W, Lee TM, Ong B (2017) A first econometric analysis of the CRIX family. Handbook of Blockchain, Digital Finance and Inclusion, Vol 1, Cryptocurrency, FinTech, InsurTech, and Regulation. LEE KCD, Deng CR, eds. ISBN: 9780128104415, Academic Press, Elsevier .

Chen, K., Lei, J. (2017) Network cross-validation for determining the number of communities in network data. Journal of the American Statistical Association, Volume 113, 2018 - Issue 521, pp. 241-251.

Chen CYH, Härdle WK, Hou AJ, Wang W (2018a) Pricing Cryptocurrency options: the case of CRIX and Bitcoin. Journal of Financial Econometrics. Revised and Resubmit.

Chen, Y., Trimborn, S., Zhang, J.(2018b) Discover Regional and Size Effects in Global Bitcoin Blockchain via Sparse-Group Network AutoRegressive Modeling. SSRN. Retrieved on the 17.10.2018 from https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=3245031.

Dwork C, Naor M (1992) Pricing via Processing or Combatting Junk Mail. Annual International Cryptology Conference. CRYPTO 1992: Advances in Cryptology — CRYPTO' 92, pp. 139-147.

Guo L, Li X (2017) Risk Analysis of Cryptocurrency as an Alternative Asset Class. In Härdle, W., Chen, C., Overbeck, L. (Eds.). Applied Quantitative Finance. Third edition. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg. ISBN 978-3-662-54485-3, e-ISBN 978-3-662-54486-0 (516 p), DOI:10.1007/978-3-662-54486-0 .

Guo L, Tao Y, Härdle WK (2019) Understanding Latent Group Structure of Cryptocurrencies Market: A Dynamic Network Perspective. Retrieved on the 25.05.2018 from https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm? abstract_id=3178672

References

Trimborn S, Härdle WK (2018) CRIX an Index for cryptocurrencies, Empirical Finance, 49, 107-122 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jempfin.2018.08.004

Appendix: Investment universe

□ 16 traditional assets

- □ Equity indices: S&P100, FTSE100, SSE, NIKKEI225, SX5E
- □ 10 Years government bonds: EU, UK, JP, CN, USA
- Real estate & commodities: GOLD, MSCI ACWI COMMOD PRODUCERS, FTSE EPRA (NAREIT DEV REITS)
- FIAT: EUR, GBP, CNY, YEN
- □ 55 crypto-currencies (97%/ 61% of Entire Market Cap)
- ☑ Sources: thecrix.de, Bloomberg
- Time span 2015-01-01 to 2017-12-31 (781 trading days/24 moving windows)

⊡

Petukhina A, Trimborn S, Härdle WK, Elendner H (2018) Investing with cryptocurrencies - evaluating the potential of portfolio allocation

Why LSTM?

- Recurrent neural network for time series
- Address the vanishing gradient problem of simple RNN
- Learns long term dependencies thanks to gates operations
- Learns to forget
- Success in speech and handwriting recognition, NLP
- Should perform better than vanilla RNN, CNN
- Pbl: difficulty of hyper-parameters tuning

